;	Case 2:12 or 00018 REN. Decument 1 Filed 02/00/12 Rege 1 of 12
-: ¹	Case 3:12-cr-00918-BEN Document 1 Filed 03/09/12 Page 1 of 12
÷	
1	Case Unsealed FILED
2	12 MAR -9 PM 3:40
3	CLERX. U.S. DISTRICT COURT SUBTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	HY: DEPUTY
5	V
6	
7 8	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	July 2010 Grand Jury UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.) Case No. 12 CR 0918BEN
12	
12	Plaintiff,) $I N D I C T M E N T$
13	v.) Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 371 - Conspiracy; JAMES T. MICELI (1),) Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 1341- Mail Fraud;
15	DOUGLAS McCLAIN, JR. (2). Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1343 - Wire Fraud
16	JEFFREY T. SPANIER (3), Defendants.) JEFFREY T. SPANIER (3),) Defendants.)))))))))))))))))))
17) Laundering; Title 18, U.S.C. Sec. 2 - Aiding and) Abetting; Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 981, 982, and
18) 984; Title 28, U.S.C., Sec. 2461(c) - Criminal Forfeiture
19	The Grand Jury charges:
20	INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS
21	At all times material to this Indictment
22	1. Defendant JAMES T. MICELI was a resident of Poway, California.
23	2. Defendant DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR was a resident of Savannah, Georgia.
24	3. Defendant JEFFREY T. SPANIER was a resident of Delray, Florida.
25	4. Argyll Equities, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Texas and
26	purported to do business in San Diego, California and Savannah, Georgia. Defendant JAMES T.
27	MICELI was the Chief Executive Officer of Argyll Equities, LLC and Defendant DOUGLAS
28	MCCLAIN, JR. was the President.
	FAD:lml:San Diego 3/9/12
	P.

د. .ميز · '.

5. SW Argyll Investments, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State of
 Texas and purported to do business in San Diego, California and Savannah, Georgia. Defendant JAMES
 T. MICELI was the Chief Executive Officer of SW Argyll Investments, LLC and Defendant DOUGLAS
 MCCLAIN, JR. was the President.

6. The Argyll Group, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Texas
 and purported to do business in San Diego, California and Savannah, Georgia. Defendants JAMES T.
 7 MICELI and DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR. were principals in the Argyll Group, LLC.

7. The Argyll entities referred to paragraphs 4 through 6 (hereinafter, collectively referred
to as "ARGYLL") were represented to the public by Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS
MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T. SPANIER, and others, as an institutional lender with significant assets
that was in the business of making loans to corporate executives and other individuals and which would
accept stock (either restricted or unrestricted) as collateral for the loans ARGYLL made.

8. Argyll Aviation, LLC ("Argyll Aviation") was a corporation formed under the laws of
the State of Oregon. Defendant JAMES T. MICELI was an officer of Argyll Aviation. Argyll Aviation
held title to a 1980 Cessna Citation II aircraft, registration #N650BP.

9. Argyll Biotechnologies, LLC ("Argyll Bio") was a corporation formed under the laws
 of the State of Delaware and purported to do business in San Diego, California. Defendant JAMES T.
 MICELI was the Chief Executive Officer of Argyll Bio and Defendant DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR. was
 the President.

10. Immunosyn Corporation, Inc. ("Immunosyn") was a corporation formed under the laws
 of the State of Delaware and purported to do business in San Diego, California, and Chicago, Illinois.
 Defendant DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR. was Immunosyn's Chief Financial Officer. Defendants JAMES
 T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., and others, represented to members of the public that
 Immunosyn was in the business of conducting FDA-approved clinical trials of a drug referred to as
 SF-1019 which was purported to be the next cure for terminal illnesses such as cancer, AIDS, and
 Multiple Sclerosis.

27 11. Dynasty Energy, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Nevada28 and is controlled by Defendant JAMES T. MICELI.

1 12. 3M Energy Resources, LLC ("3M Energy") was a corporation formed under the laws of
 2 the State of Delaware and was controlled by Defendants JAMES T. MICELI and DOUGLAS
 3 MCCLAIN, JR. 3M Energy purported to operate a coal mine in Beverly, Kentucky.

4 13. 3M Management Resources, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State
5 of Delaware and was controlled by Defendants JAMES T. MICELI and DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR.

6 14. Amerifund Capital Finance, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State
7 of Florida and was owned and controlled by Defendant JEFFREY T. SPANIER.

8 15. Amerifund Capital Group, LLC was a corporation formed under the laws of the State of
9 Florida and was owned and controlled by Defendant JEFFREY T. SPANIER.

10 16. The Amerifund entities described in paragraphs 14 and 15 (hereinafter collectively
 11 referred to as "AMERIFUND") purported to operate a loan brokerage business specializing in
 12 originating stock loans for business executives, and was represented to members of the public to be the
 13 "retail" arm of ARGYLL.

Federal securities laws and regulations promulgated by Congress and the United States 14 17. 15 Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") require publicly-traded companies to make timely and accurate reports which are made available to the public, place certain restrictions on the free trading of 16 shares in public companies, and require certain categories of individual investors to provide timely and 17 accurate reporting to the SEC of the registration and transfer of shares. In particular, federal securities 18 laws and regulations place restrictions on the sale of shares by persons who are insiders of the public 19 company. Under SEC Rule 144, insiders must register their intent to sell shares with the SEC through 20 a registration statement before they can sell the shares in the open market. These rules and regulations 21 22 reflect the judgment of Congress and the SEC that timely disclosure about the purchase and sale of securities by insiders of the public company is information material to investors in the company's 23 24 securities.

25 //

•. •

26 //

27 //

28 //

- 1 1	in,	Case 3:12-cr-00918-BEN Document 1 Filed 03/09/12 Page 4 of 12
	1	
	1	<u>Count 1</u>
	2	[18 U.S.C. § 371]
	3	Conspiracy
	4	(Against All Defendants)
	5	18. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17 above are realleged and incorporated
	6	by reference as if fully set forth herein.
	7	19. Beginning in at least January 2004 and continuing through the date of this Indictment,
	8	within the Southern District of California and elsewhere, defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS
	9	MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T. SPANIER, and others known and unknown to the grand jury, knowingly
	10	conspired and agreed with each other, and persons known and unknown to the grand jury, to commit
	11	offenses against the United States, to wit: mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,
	12	Section 1341; wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; and securities fraud,
	13	in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal
	14	Regulations, Section 240.10b-5.
	15	20. It was the purpose and object of the conspiracy to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud
	16	and obtain money from borrowers and clients of ARGYLL and AMERIFUND by means of false,
	17	fraudulent and material pretenses, representations, promises and omissions of material fact.
	18	Methods and Means by Which Objects of the Conspiracy Were to be Accomplished
	19	21. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, Defendants JAMES T.
	20	MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., and JEFFREY T. SPANIER utilized the following methods and
	21	means, among others:
	22	a. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
	23	SPANIER, and others, would induce potential borrowers to pledge stock the borrowers owned in
	24	publicly traded securities to ARGYLL as collateral for loans by false, fraudulent, and misleading
	25	representations that ARGYLL had substantial independent sources of cash to lend.
	26	//
	27	//
	28	//

b. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce potential borrowers to pledge stock the borrowers owned in
 publicly traded securities to ARGYLL as collateral for loans by false, fraudulent, and misleading
 representations that their stock would not be sold unless the borrower defaulted on the loan.

- c. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce potential borrowers to execute documents transferring shares the
 borrowers owned in publicly traded securities to ARGYLL by making false, fraudulent, and misleading
 representations that their shares would be held by ARGYLL in safekeeping and would only be used for
 hedging purposes and not sold.
- d. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to execute documents transferring shares the borrowers
 owned in publicly traded securities to ARGYLL by making false, fraudulent, and misleading
 representations that ARGYLL, as a lender, would abide by all securities laws, including Rule 144 which
 restricts affiliated persons from selling publicly traded securities outside of certain guidelines and
 reporting requirements.
- e. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to execute documents transferring shares the borrowers
 owned in publicly traded securities to ARGYLL by failing to disclose that ARGYLL was paying
 substantial back-end incentive fees from the sale of the borrowers' collateral to SPANIER in return for
 introducing the borrower to ARGYLL.
- f. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to execute documents transferring shares the borrowers
 owned in publicly traded securities to ARGYLL by failing to disclose that ARGYLL intended to sell
 their stock in order to fund their loans, or loans made to other borrowers.
- 25 //
- 26 //
- 27 //
- 28 //

g. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to pledge additional stock to ARGYLL by making false,
 fraudulent, and misleading representations that because the stock price of the stock that the borrowers
 originally pledged as collateral had decreased in value, the original collateral securing the loan was
 impaired and therefore could be sold if the borrower did not pledge additional stock.

h. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
7 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to relinquish their rights to the stock the borrowers
8 pledged as collateral by making false, fraudulent, and misleading representations that because the price
9 of the stock that the borrowers pledged as collateral had decreased in value, the collateral was impaired
10 and therefore would be sold.

i. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to make quarterly interest payments to ARGYLL on
 loans ARGYLL purportedly made by failing to disclose that the stock they pledged as collateral for the
 loans was already sold by ARGYLL.

j. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, would induce borrowers to execute documents transferring shares the borrowers
 owned in publicly traded securities to ARGYLL by failing to disclose that ARGYLL intended to sell
 their stock in order to fund other business ventures of Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS
 MCCLAIN, JR., and others, including but not limited to Argyll Biotechnologies, LLC, Immunosyn
 Corporation, Inc., Dynasty Energy, LLC, 3M Energy Resources, LLC, and Argyll Aviation, LLC.

k. Defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
 SPANIER, and others, obtained more than \$51 million from borrowers through the foregoing methods
 and means.

24

OVERT ACTS

25 22. In furtherance of said conspiracy and to effect and accomplish the objects thereof, the
26 following overt acts, among others, were committed within the Southern District of California, and
27 elsewhere:

28 //

a. On or before February 27, 2004, SPANIER negotiated a loan agreement with
 borrower GS in which GS pledged 500,000 shares of common stock GS held in ATP Oil and Gas
 Corporation, a publicly traded company listed on the National Association of Securities Dealers
 Automated Quotation System ("NASDAQ"), as collateral for a \$1.5 million loan from ARGYLL.

b. On or about April 20, 2004, MCCLAIN, JR. executed a loan agreement negotiated
by SPANIER with borrower LP in which LP pledged 1.19 million shares of common stock LP held in
Mace Security International, Inc. ("MSI"), a publicly traded company listed on the NASDAQ, as
collateral for a \$4.1 million loan from ARGYLL.

c. Between June 2004 and December 2005, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. executed
14 loan agreements with Servicios Directivos Servia S.A. de C.V. ("SDS") in which SDS pledged a total
of 14,178,193 publicly traded American Depository Receipts of Grupo TMM S.A. de C.V ("TMM") as
collateral for loans totaling \$23,157,078.

d. Between June 2004 and January 2006, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold the
TMM stock pledged by SDS in order to fund the 14 loans made by ARGYLL to SDS between June 2004
and December 2005.

e. On or before December 16, 2008, SPANIER requested, on behalf of ARGYLL,
that borrower JC pay off a loan that JC obtained from ARGYLL in the amount of \$3,462,500.

18 f. On or about May 8, 2009, MICELI, SPANIER, and MCLAIN, JR. sent an e-mail
19 to JC in which they assured JC that stock he had pledged for his loan would be returned to him.

20g.On or about November 30, 2009, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. opened a financial21account at Morgan Stanley (Account 04-HOCTL-6) in the name of SW Argyll Investments, LLC.

h. On or about December 2, 2009, MCCLAIN, JR.executed a loan agreement
negotiated by SPANIER with borrower SW in which SW pledged 700,000 shares of common stock that
SW owned in Diversified Developers Realty ("DDR"), a publicly traded company on the New York
Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), as collateral for a \$4.3 million loan from ARGYLL.

26 //

27 //

28 //

. !

1 i. Between December 4, 2009 and December 12, 2009, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold the DDR stock pledged by SW in order to fund the \$4.3 million loan, and disbursed the excess 2 3 proceeds to MICELI, MCCLAIN, JR., SPANIER, and others. On or about December 10, 2009, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred 4 i. \$410,977 to SPANIER of which \$273,480 was a back end fee that was not disclosed to SW prior to 5 6 executing the loan agreement with ARGYLL. 7 k. On or about January 5, 2010, MICELI executed a loan agreement negotiated by SPANIER with borrower JH in which JH pledged exercisable options to 3,250 shares of common stock 8 in Emeritus Corporation ("ESC"), a publicly traded company on the NYSE, as collateral for a 9 10 \$46,117.50 loan from ARGYLL. On or about January 8, 2010, MCCLAIN, JR. and SPANIER prepared documents 11 1. which were executed by JH which transferred JH's options to 3,250 shares of ESC stock to ARGYLL's 12 brokerage account at First Discount Brokerage. 13 14 On or about January 12, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. exercised the options m. pledged by JH and purchased the ESC stock pledged by JH. 15 On or about January 14, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold the ESC 16 n. common stock acquired through the options pledged by JH to fund the loan to JH and made an 17 18 undisclosed additional payment to SPANIER. 19 On or about March 4, 2010, MCCLAIN, JR. executed a loan agreement negotiated 0. by SPANIER with borrower KY in which KY pledged 1 million shares of common stock KY held in 20 China Armco Metals, Inc. ("CNAM"), a publicly traded company on the NYSE, as collateral for a \$4.68 21 million loan from ARGYLL. 22 23 On or about April 7, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold some of the CNAM p. 24 stock pledged by KY in order to fund the \$4.68 million loan. 25 On or about April 9, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred q. \$425,000 to SPANIER of which \$178,000 was a back end fee for the KY loan which was not disclosed 26 27 to KY prior to executing the loan agreement. 28 11

.

1 On or about April 23, 2010, MICELI executed a loan agreement negotiated by r. 2 SPANIER with borrower DJ in which DJ pledged 6,000,000 shares of common stock DJ held in Imaging3 ("IMMGG"), a public company traded on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB"), 3 as collateral for a \$1.32 million loan from ARGYLL. 4 5 Between May 12, 2010 and May 26, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold S. some of the IMMGG stock pledged by DJ in order to fund the \$1.32 million loan. 6 7 On or about May 26, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred t. \$186,000 to SPANIER of which \$120,000 was a back-end fee for the DJ loan which was not disclosed 8 to DJ prior to executing the loan agreement. 9 10 On or about April 27, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR attempted to redeem u. Placer County Water Bonds represented to be valued at approximately \$15 million which had been 11 pledged as collateral for a loan made by ARGYLL to TN. 12 13 On or about April 22, 2010, MICELI executed a loan agreement negotiated by v. SPANIER with borrower TP in which TP pledged 500,000 shares of common stock TP held in 14 Searchlight Minerals, Inc. ("SM"), a publicly traded company listed on the OTCBB, as collateral for a 15 16 \$270,000 loan from ARGYLL. 17 On or about May 7, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold all of TP's SM w. stock in order to fund the loan, and disbursed the excess proceeds to MICELI, SPANIER, and others. 18 19 On or about June 16, 2010, MCCLAIN, JR executed a second loan agreement x. negotiated by SPANIER with borrower KY in which KY pledged an additional 1.3 million shares of 20 CNAM stock as collateral for a \$2.6 million loan from ARGYLL. 21 22 Between June 9, 2010 and June 16, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold the y. CNAM stock pledged by KY in order to fund the \$2.6 million loan. 23 24 On or about June 24, 2010, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred z. \$338,910 to SPANIER of which \$214,500 was a back-end fee for the second KY loan which was not 25 disclosed to KY prior to executing the loan agreement. 26 27 11 28 11

aa. On or about March 15, 2011, MICELI executed a loan agreement negotiated by
 SPANIER with borrower RS in which RS pledged 750,000 shares of common stock RS held in VU1
 Corporation ("VU1"), a publicly traded company listed on the OTCBB, as collateral for a \$207,900 loan
 from ARGYLL.

bb. Between March 21, 2011 and March 28, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold
some of RS's VU1 stock to fund the loan to RS.

cc. On or about March 30, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred
\$26,895 to SPANIER of which \$16,500 was a back-end fee for the RS loan which was not disclosed to
RS prior to executing the loan agreement.

10 dd. On or about February 18, 2011, MCCLAIN, JR .executed a loan agreement
11 negotiated by SPANIER with borrower FB in which FB pledged 52,246 shares of common stock that
12 FB held in First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. ("FPTB"), a publicly traded company listed on the NASDAQ,
13 as collateral in exchange for a \$557,726.05 loan from ARGYLL.

14 ee. Between March 23, 2011 and March 29, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold
15 all of FB's FPTB stock.

16 ff. On or about March 30, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred
17 \$38,244.07 to SPANIER of which \$15,935.03 was a back-end fee for the FB loan which was not
18 disclosed to FB prior to executing the loan agreement.

19 gg. On or about March 31, 2011, MCCLAIN, JR executed a loan agreement
20 negotiated by SPANIER with borrower CW in which CW pledged 1,000,000 shares of common stock
21 that CW held in Cubic Energy, Inc.("QBC"), a publicly traded company listed on the NYSE Amex
22 Equities exchange ("NYSE Amex"), as collateral for a \$358,000 loan from ARGYLL.

hh. Between April 4, 2011 and May 9, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold all
of CW's QBC stock.

ii. On or about April 12, 2011, MCCLAIN, JR. executed a loan agreement negotiated
by SPANIER with borrower SV in which SV pledged 1,300,000 shares of common stock that SV held
in Elephant Talk Communication, Inc. ("ETAK"), a publicly traded company listed on the OTCBB, as
collateral for a \$1.9 million loan from ARGYLL.

1 ji. On or about May 3, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. sold some of SV's stock 2 in order to partially fund the loan to SV. 3 On or about May 11, 2011, MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR. wire transferred some kk. of the proceeds from the sale of ETAK shares pledged by SV to SPANIER to pay back-end fees that 4 were undisclosed to SV when he executed loan agreements with ARGYLL. 5 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. 6 7 Counts 2-8 8 [18 U.S.C. § 1341 and 2] 9 Mail Fraud 10 (Against All Defendants) 11 The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17, and 21 through 22 above are 23. realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 12 13 Beginning in at least January 2004 and continuing to the date of this Indictment, within 24. the Southern District of California, and elsewhere, defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS 14 MCCLAIN, JR., and JEFFREY T. SPANIER, with the intent to defraud, devised and intended to devise, 15 a material scheme to defraud as to material matters and to obtain money and property by means of 16 materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, promises, or omissions of material facts, as 17 described in paragraphs 21 through 22 of Count 1. 18 19 Execution of the Material Scheme by Mail 20 25. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Southern District of California, defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., and JEFFREY T. SPANIER, for the purpose of 21 executing and attempting to execute the aforesaid scheme caused to be placed in a United States post 22 office or other authorized depository for mail matter, or private commercial carrier, items to be delivered 23 by the United States Postal Service or United Parcel Service according to the directions thereon, as set 24 25 forth below: 26 11 27 11 28 11

÷.,

1 Count Date Sender Addressee Item 2 2 2/25/2010 SW ARGYLL Check 3 Beachwood, Ohio San Diego, CA 4 3 8/26/2010 SW ARGYLL Check 5 Beachwood, Ohio San Diego, CA 4 10/7/2010 KY 6 ARGYLL Check 7 San Mateo, CA San Diego, CA 5 1/5/2011 KΥ ARGYLL Check 8 San Mateo, CA San Diego, CA 9 6 2/8/2001 TP ARGYLL Check 10 Cottonwood, AZ San Diego, CA 11 7 2/23/2011 SW ARGYLL Check 12 Beachwood, Ohio San Diego, CA 13 8 3/29/2011 FB Amerifund **Promissory Note** 14 San Diego, CA Boca Raton, FL 15 16 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341 and 2. 17 Counts 9-23 18 [Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1343 and 2] 19 Wire Fraud 20 (Against All Defendants) 21 26. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17, and 21 through 22 above are 22 realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 23 Beginning in at least January 2004 and continuing to the date of this Indictment, in the 27. Southern District of California, and elsewhere, defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, 24 JR., and JEFFREY T. SPANIER, devised and intended to devise a material scheme to defraud as to 25 material matters and to obtain money from persons by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, 26 27 representations, promises, or material omissions of fact, as described in paragraphs 21 through 22 of 28 Count 1.

Execution of Material Scheme by Wire Communications

2 28. On or about the dates set forth below, within the Southern District of California, and elsewhere, defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., and JEFFREY T. SPANIER, for the purpose of executing the aforementioned material scheme to defraud and to obtain money and 4 property by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, promises, did transmit and cause to be 5 transmitted, in interstate and foreign commerce, by means of wire, radio, and television communication, the writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds set forth below:

		Communication		
9 12/02/2009 James		James Miceli/Argyll	Morgan Stanley	Facsimile instructing
		San Diego, CA	New York, New	Morgan Stanley to wire
			York	transfer \$4,316,403 to
				SW/National City Bank
				Ohio to fund loan
10	12/10/2009	SW ARGYLL	Amerifund	Wire transfer in the
		BofA Acct. # 0526	Iberia Bank Acct.	amount of \$410,977.00
		San Diego, CA	# 0663 (formerly	
			Sterling Bank)	Ϋ́.
			Delray Beach, FL	
11	1/15/2010	SW ARGYLL	BofA Acct. #5996	Wire transfer in the
		BofA Acct. # 3952	Mercer Island,	amount of \$17,714.13 to
		San Diego, CA	WA	fund loan to JH
12	4/9/2010	SW ARGYLL	U.S. Bank	Wire transfer in the
		BofA Acct. # 3952	Acct # 2266	amount of \$4,683,500.00
		San Diego, CA	Portland, OR	to fund first loan to KY
13	4/9/2010	SW ARGYLL	Amerifund	Wire transfer in the
		BofA Acct # 3952	Iberia Bank Acct #	amount of \$425,000
		San Diego, CA	0663 (formerly	
			Sterling Bank)	
			Delray Beach, FL	

3

6

7

1

.

Ċ.

1	14	5/17/2010	SW ARGYLL	SW ARGYLL	Wire transfer of
2			Morgan Stanley	BofA Acct # 3952	\$3,000,000 from the
3			Acct 04-HOCTL	San Diego, CA	proceeds of the sale of
4			New York, New York		stock pledged as
5					collateral
6	15	5/18/2010	SW ARGYLL	Amerifund	Wire transfer in the
7			BofA Acct # 3952	Iberia Bank Acct #	amount of \$402,892.80
8			San Diego, CA	2968 (formerly	
9				Sterling Bank)	
0				Delray Beach, FL	
1	16	6/24/2010	SW ARGYLL	U.S. Bank	Wire transfer in the
2			BofA Acct # 3952	Acct # 2266	amount of \$2,363,790 to
3			San Diego, CA	Portland, OR	fund second loan to KY
4	17	6/24/2010	SW ARGYLL	Amerifund	Wire transfer in the
5			BofA Acct # 3952	Iberia Bank Acct #	amount of \$338,910
6			San Diego, CA	2968 (formerly	
7				Sterling Bank)	
8				Delray Beach, FL	
9	18	12/23/2010	SW ARGYLL	JP Morgan Chase	Wire transfer in the
0			BofA Acct # 3952	Acct. # 4295	amount of \$955,501 to
1			San Diego, CA	New York, NY	SW
2	19	2/16/2011	SW ARGYLL	Deutsche Bank	Wire transfer in the
3			BofA Acct # 3952	Acct# 5766	amount of \$726,180 to
4			San Diego, CA	New York, NY	fund first loan to SV
5	20	3/22/2011	SW ARGYLL	First Financial	Wire transfer in the
5			BofA Acct # 3952	Bank # 0910	amount of \$436,006.46
7			San Diego, CA	Westchester, OH	to fund part of FB loan
3					12

ei i

1	21	4/8/2011	SW ARGYLL	American National	Wire transfer in the
2			BofA Acct # 3952	Bank # 1519	amount of \$358,150 to
3			San Diego, CA	Rockwall, TX	fund CW loan
4	22	5/3/2011	SW ARGYLL	SW ARGYLL	Wire transfer in the
5			Morgan Stanley	BofA Acct # 3952	amount of \$1,036,543.55
6			Acct 04-HOCTL	San Diego, CA	from the proceeds of the
7			New York, New York		sale of stock pledged as
8					collateral
9	23	5/11/2011	SW ARGYLL	Amerifund	Wire transfer in the
10			Bank of America	Iberia Bank Acct #	amount of \$158,196.24
11			Acct # 3952	2968 (formerly	
12			San Diego, CA	Sterling Bank)	
13	L			Delray Beach, FL	
14	All in violat	tion of Title 18	, United States Code, Se	ctions 1343 and 2.	
15			Coun	<u>t 24</u>	
16			[15 U.S.C. §§ 7	8j(b) and 78ff]	
17			Securities	s Fraud	
18	29.	The allegat	ions set forth in paragra	phs 1 through 17, and	d 21 through 22 above are
19	realleged and		by reference as if fully se		275
20	30.	Beginning i	n or around January 2004	, and continuing up to	the date of this Indictment,
21	in the South				S T. MICELI, DOUGLAS
22					, directly and indirectly, by
23		the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the mails, and the facilities of			
24					devices and contrivances in
25					A, ETAK, IMMGG, FPTB,
26					Section 240.10b-5, by (a)
27					ements of material fact and
28		omitting to state facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances			
11				10	

under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of 1 business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon purchasers of securities. 2 All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, Title 17, Code of 3 Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2. 4 5 **Counts 25-28** 6 (Against Defendant MICELI) 7 [Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1956 (a)(1)(B)(i)] 8 Money Laundering - Concealment On or about the dates listed below, within the Southern District of California and 9 31. elsewhere, knowing that the property detailed below represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful 10 activity, defendant MICELI, knowingly conducted the below listed financial transactions affecting 11 interstate commerce which involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, to wit, mail, wire and 12 securities fraud as described in paragraphs 1 through 30 of this Indictment, knowing that the financial 13 transactions were designed in whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, 14 ownership and control of said proceeds of said specified unlawful activity. 15 16 Count Date **Financial Transaction** 17 25 06/28/2010 Transfer of \$100,000 from SW ARGYLL Bank of America Acct. 18 #3952 to Miceli Bank of America Acct. #8807 19 26 06/29/2010 Transfer of \$200,000 from SW ARGYLL Bank of America Acct. 20 #3952 to Miceli Bank of America Acct. #8807 Transfer of \$15,000 from SW ARGYLL Bank of America Acct. 27 09/01/2010 21 #3952 to Miceli Bank of America Acct. #8807 22 28 02/14/2011 Transfer of \$35,000 from SW ARGYLL Bank of America Acct. # 23 3952 to ARGYLL Aviation Bank of America Acct. # 1757 24 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i). 25

26

11

.. "**!**

27 //

28 //

1		<u>Counts 29-32</u>				
2		(Against Defendant SPANIER)				
3				[Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1957]		
4			N	Ioney Laundering-Criminally Derived Proceeds		
5		32.		t the dates listed below, within the Southern District of California and		
6		elsewhere,		REY T. SPANIER did knowingly engage in monetary transactions in		
7				of a value greater than \$10,000, which property was in fact was derived		
8				ivity, to wit, mail, wire and securities fraud as described in paragraphs 1		
9			of this Indictme	14 - 298)		
10						
		Count	Date	Financial Transaction		
11		29	05/19/2010	Check in the amount of \$250,000 drawn on Amerifund Iberia		
12				Acct. # 2968 deposited into Amerifund Wachovia acct. #6242		
13		30	5/27/2010	Check in the amount of \$250,000 drawn on Amerifund Iberia		
14				Acct. # 2968 deposited into Amerifund Wachovia acct. #6242		
15		31	6/25/2010	Check in the amount of \$400,000 drawn on Amerifund Iberia		
16				Acct. # 2968 deposited into Amerifund Wachovia acct. #6242		
17		32	7/6/2010	Check in the amount of \$100,000 drawn on Amerifund Iberia		
18				Acct. # 2968 deposited into Amerifund Wachovia acct. #6242		
19		All i	n violation of Ti	tle 18, United States Code, Section 1957.		
20				<u>Counts 33-35</u>		
21			(Ag	ainst Defendants MICELI and MCCLAIN, JR.)		
22				[Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1957 & 2]		
23		Money Laundering-Criminally Derived Proceeds				
24		33.	On or about	the dates listed below, within the Southern District of California and		
25	e	elsewhere, de		LI and MCCLAIN, JR. did knowingly engage in monetary transactions in		
26				of a value greater than \$10,000, which property was in fact derived from		
27				to wit, mail, wire and securities fraud as described in paragraphs 1		
28	through 30 of this Indictment.					

Case 3:12-cr-00918-BEN Document 1-1 Filed 03/09/12 Page 6 of 12

. . *	. <i>*</i> ,	Case 3:	12-cr-00918-E	3EN Document 1-1 Filed 03/09/12 Page 6 of 12
	1	Count	Date	Financial Transaction
	2	33	7/6/2010	Transfer from SW ARGYLL Bank of America acct. #3952 to
	3			Woodforest National Bank acct. # 5706 in the amount of \$25,000
	4	34	4/28/2011	Transfer from SW Argyll Bank of America acct.# 3952 to JP Morgan
	5			Chase acct # 4073 in the amount of \$20,000
	6	35	5/31/2011	Transfer from SW ARGYLL Bank of America acct.# 3952 to JP
	7			Morgan Chase acct # 4073 in the amount of \$20,000
	8	All	in violation of	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.
	9			Criminal Forfeiture Allegation 1
	10		[Title 18, Unit	ed States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(A), 981(a)(1)(C), 984 and
	11			Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c)]
	12	34.	Counts 1 th	rough 35 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference as though set
	13	forth in full		se of charging criminal forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18,
	14			ons 981(a)(1)(A), 981 (a)(1)(C), 984, and Title 28, United States Code,
	15	Section 246		 Construction of the second seco
	16	35.	As a result o	of the commission of the foregoing offenses alleged in Counts 1 through 35
	17	of this Indi	ctment, defend	lants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
	18	SPANIER s	hall forfeit to th	ne United States any and all property, real and personal, which constitutes
	19	or is derived	from proceeds	traceable to those offenses, or property involved in a transaction in violation
	20	of section 19	956 or 1957, in	cluding but not limited to:
	21			Securities Brokerage Accounts
	22		a. All r	nonies, funds, securities and credits in 1st Discount Brokerage Account
	23			xxxxx-18-RR900, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investments, LLC.
	24			nonies, funds, securities and credits in Morgan Stanley Smith Barney
	25			ount xx-xx152-9, in the name of ARGYLL Equities, LLC.
	26			nonies, funds, securities and credits in Morgan Stanley Smith Barney
	27			ount xx-xxCTL-6, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investments, LLC.
	28	//		

44 <u>(</u>

1	d. All monies, funds, securities, and credits in BMA Securities Account
2	xxx-xxxxx-xx RR R3D, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investments, LLC.
3	e. All monies, funds, securities, and credits in Ascendiant Capital Markets, LLC
4	Account xxx-xxxxRR-9AZ in the a name of SW ARGYLL Investments, LLC.
5	Financial Accounts at Bank of America
6	f. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)
7	xxxxx-x0526, in the name of ARGYLL Equities, LLC.
8	g. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)
9	xxxxx-x3952, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investments, LLC.
10	h. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)
11	xxxxx-x1757, in the name of ARGYLL Aviation, LLC.
12	i. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)
13	xxxxxxx-9758, in the name of Dynasty Energy.
14	j. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)
15	xxxxx-x8807, in the name of James T. Miceli and Dona M. Miceli.
16	Financial Accounts at JP Morgan Chase
17	k. All monies, funds and credits in JP Morgan Chase Account xxx-xx1638-1, in
18	the name of James Miceli or Dona Miceli.
19	l. All monies, funds and credits in JP Morgan Chase Account xxxxxx4073, in
20	the name of Stephen D. Ferrone or Sharon Vaughn.
21	<u>Financial Accounts at Wachovia Bank</u>
22	m. All monies, funds and credits in Wachovia Bank Account xxxxxxx6242, in the
23	name of Amerifund Capital Finance, LLC.
24	n. All monies, funds and credits in Wachovia Bank Account xxxxxxx7113, in
25	the name of Jeffrey Spanier.
26	o. All monies, funds and credits in Wachovia Bank Account xxxxxxx6481, in
27	the name of Regina Spanier.
28	

in the

j	Financial Accounts at Iberia Bank	
	p. All monies, funds and credits in IberiaBsank (formerly Sterling Bank) Account	
3	xxxxxx0663, in the name of Amerifund Capital Finance, LLC.	
4	q. All monies, funds and credits in IberiaBank (formerly Sterling Bank) Account	
5		
6	Financial Accounts at Suntrust Bank	
7	r. All monies, funds and credits in SunTrust Account xxxxxxx8460, in the	
8	name of Douglas McClain.	
9	Vehicles	
10	s. 2010 Ferrari, VIN # ZFF65LJA7A0173201, registered to James Miceli,	
11	14440 Cypress Point, Poway, California 92064.	
12	Vessel	
13	t. 2008 Advantage Party Cat, Hull # AVIP4654G708, registered to Andrew M.	
14	Abdulwahab, 15821 Ventura Blvd., Suite 500, Encino, California; beneficial	
15	owner: James Miceli, 14440 Cypress Point, Poway, California 92064.	
16	u. 2008 Extreme Tri Axle Carrier, VIN # 5DBBB36398R000001, registered to	
17	Andrew M. Abdulwahab, 8819 Apache Loop, Parker, Arizona 85344;	
18	beneficial owner: James Miceli, 14440 Cypress Point, Poway, California 92064.	
19	Aircraft	
20	v. 1980 Cessna Citation II and Maintenance Log, Serial # 550-0118, Registration	
21	# N650BP, registered to ARGYLL Aviation LLC, 4225 Executive Square,	
22	Suite 260, La Jolla, California 92037.	
23	Jewelry	
24	w. One 14 karat diamond bracelet purchased on or about September 1, 2010 from	
25	Unicorn Jewelry & Watch Boutique, 16781 Bernardo Center Drive, San Diego,	
26	California 92128.	
27	//	
28	//	

·· [•]		Case 3:12-cr-00918-BEN Document 1-1 Filed 03/09/12 Page 9 of 12
	1	x. One 18 karat gold diamond necklace purchased on or about September 1, 2010
	2	
	3	
	4	36. In the event that any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from
	5	
	6	
	7	(1) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
ж	8	(2) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, third parties;
	9	(3) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the courts;
	10	(4) has been substantially diminished in value; or
	11	(5) has been co-mingled with other property which cannot be divided without
	12	difficulty;
	13	any other property of the defendant, up to the value of \$51,000,000 shall be forfeited to the
	14	United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, and Title 21, United States Code,
	15	Section 853.
	16	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(A), 981(a)(1)(C), 984 and Title 28,
	17	United States Code, Section 2461(c).
	18	Criminal Forfeiture Allegation 2
	19	[Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1)]
	20	37. Counts 1 through 35 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference as though set forth
	21	in full for the purpose of charging criminal forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States
	22	Code, Section 982 (a)(1).
	23	38. As a result of the commission of the foregoing offenses alleged in Counts 1 through 35
	24	of this Indictment, defendants JAMES T. MICELI, DOUGLAS MCCLAIN, JR., JEFFREY T.
	25	SPANIER shall forfeit to the United States any and all property, real and personal, involved in the
	26	charged offenses, or any property which is traceable to property involved in those offenses including but
	27	not limited to:
	28	//
	1	

- 1 - C

1

Securities Brokerage Accounts

	Ittounts	
	2 a. All monies, funds, securities and credits in 1st Discount Brok	erage Account
-	3 xxx-xxxxx-18-RR900, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investment	ts, LLC.
4	4 b. All monies, funds, securities and credits in Morgan Stanley	Smith Barney
ć	5 Account xx-xx152-9, in the name of ARGYLL Equities, LLC.	
e	6 c. All monies, funds, securities and credits in Morgan Stanley	Smith Barney
7	7 Account xx-xxCTL-6, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investment	
8	8 d. All monies, funds, securities, and credits in BMA Secur	ities Account
9	9 xxx-xxxxx-xx RR R3D, in the name of SW ARGYLL Investme	
10	10 e. All monies, funds, securities, and credits in Ascendiant Capital	24 I.I. 224
11	11 Account xxx-xxxxRR-9AZ in the a name of SW ARGYLL Inve	
12	12 Financial Accounts at Bank of America	
13	13 f. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)	xxxxx-x0526,
14	14 in the name of ARGYLL Equities, LLC.	
15	15 g. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)	xxxxx-x3952,
16	16 in the name of SW ARGYLL Investments, LLC.	
17	17 h. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA):	xxxxx-x1757,
18		2
19	19 i. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Acc	ount (BofA)
20		
21	j. All monies, funds and credits in Bank of America Account (BofA)	xxxx-x8807,
22		
23	23 Financial Accounts at JP Morgan Chase	
24	k. All monies, funds and credits in JP Morgan Chase Account xxx-xx	1638-1, in the
25		aan- 101 beel: S alah (1711) (1815) (1855)
26	1. All monies, funds and credits in JP Morgan Chase Account xxxx	xxxx4073, in
27		non-e astronomo non-e e de el de la composition de la Constante de la constante de la constante de la constante
28	0.81	
* 3	22	

· · · · ·

ľ

1	Financial Accounts at Wachovia Bank
2	m. All monies, funds and credits in Wachovia Bank Account xxxxxxx6242, in the
3	name of Amerifund Capital Finance, LLC.
4	n. All monies, funds and credits in Wachovia Bank Account xxxxxxx7113, in the
5	
6	o. All monies, funds and credits in Wachovia Bank Account xxxxxxx6481, in the
7	name of Regina Spanier.
8	Financial Accounts at Iberia Bank
9	p. All monies, funds and credits in IberiaBsank (formerly Sterling Bank) Account
10	xxxxx0663, in the name of Amerifund Capital Finance, LLC.
11	q. All monies, funds and credits in IberiaBank (formerly Sterling Bank) Account
12	
13	Financial Accounts at Suntrust Bank
14	r. All monies, funds and credits in SunTrust Account xxxxxxx8460, in the
15	name of Douglas McClain.
16	Vehicles
17	s. 2010 Ferrari, VIN # ZFF65LJA7A0173201, registered to James Miceli,
18	14440 Cypress Point, Poway, California 92064.
19	Vessel
20	t. 2008 Advantage Party Cat, Hull # AVIP4654G708, registered to Andrew M.
21	Abdulwahab, 15821 Ventura Blvd., Suite 500, Encino, California; beneficial
22	owner: James Miceli, 14440 Cypress Point, Poway, California 92064.
23	u. 2008 Extreme Tri Axle Carrier, VIN # 5DBBB36398R000001, registered to
24	Andrew M. Abdulwahab, 8819 Apache Loop, Parker, Arizona 85344; beneficial
25	owner: James Miceli, 14440 Cypress Point, Poway, California 92064.
26	//
27	//
28	//

•••	Case 3:12-cr-00918-BEN Document 1-1 Filed 03/09/12 Page 12 of 12					
1	Aircraft					
2	v. 1980 Cessna Citation II and Maintenance Log, Serial # 550-0118, Registration					
3	# N650BP, registered to ARGYLL Aviation LLC, 4225 Executive Square,					
4	Suite 260, La Jolla, California 92037.					
5	Jewelry					
6	w. One 14 karat diamond bracelet purchased on or about September 1, 2010 from					
7	Unicorn Jewelry & Watch Boutique, 16781 Bernardo Center Drive, San Diego,					
8	California 92128.					
9	x. One 18 karat gold diamond necklace purchased on or about September 1, 2010					
10	from Unicorn Jewelry & Watch Boutique, 16781 Bernardo Center Drive,					
11	San Diego, California 92128.					
12	39. In the event that any property, real or personal, involved in the offenses described in					
13	Counts of this indictment, or any property traceable to such property, as a result of any act or omission					
14	of the defendants:					
15	 cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; 					
16	(2) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, third parties;					
17	(3) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the courts;					
18	(4) has been substantially diminished in value; or					
19	(5) has been co-mingled with other property which cannot be divided without					
20	difficulty, any other property of the defendant, up to the value of \$51,000,000 shall be forfeited to the					
21	United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, and Title 21, United States Code,					
22	Section 853.					
23	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 982 (a)(1).					
24	DATED: March 9, 2012.					
25	TRUE BILL:					
26	Vala					
27	LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney					
28	By: South G. Derri					
	FAITH A. DEVINE Assistant U.S. Attorney					
	24					

Case 9:12-mj-08099-JMH Document 8 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/19/2012 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. <u>12-8099-JMH</u>

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

V. SPANIC

Defendant.

FILEI) by _			D.C.
M	AR	16	2012	
STEVEN M. LAPIMORE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA W.P.B.				

WARRANT OF REMOVAL

A(n) ____ Complaint

<u>X</u> Indictment

_____ Information

_____ Probation Violation Warrant

_____ Supervised Release Violation Warrant

_____ Bench Warrant

_____ Violation of Pretrial Release Warrant

having been filed in the <u>SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</u> charging the above named defendant with <u>18:371</u>, <u>1341A</u>, <u>1343</u>, <u>& 15:78&78ff</u>, and the defendant having

X been arrested

_____ surrendered

in the Southern District of Florida, having had an initial appearance before the Court and having:

<u>X</u> waived further hearing been given a hearing in accordance with <u>Fed.R.Crim.P.</u> 40.

having not posted the bail as set by the Court, the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Marshal for removal to the District where the charge is pending and delivery to the United States Marshal for that District or his lawfully authorized representative.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Florida this 16th day of MARCH , 2012.

JAMES M. HOPKINS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

c: AUSA Defense Pretrial Services US Probation U.S. Marshal (2 certified copies)

Poway man charged in \$51M stock-loan fraud scheme

http://pomeradonews.com/2012/03/16/poway-man-charged-in-51m-stock-loan-fraud-scheme/

SAN DIEGO (CNS) – A federal indictment unsealed in San Diego Thursday charges a Poway man and two out-of-state business associates with securities fraud, money laundering and other criminal counts in connection with an alleged \$51 million stock-loan fraud scheme.

James T. Miceli allegedly conspired with Douglas A. McClain Jr. of Savannah, Ga., and Jeffrey R. Spanier of Delray Beach, Fla., to defraud borrowers through a company called "Argyll," which they falsely represented as an institutional lender with significant cash to loan to corporate executives and others.

According to prosecutors, Miceli, 48, McClain, 38, and Spanier, 46, fraudulently induced borrowers to pledge stock they held in publicly traded companies as collateral for loans by falsely promising that those assets would not be sold unless there was a default, when in fact the defendants intended to sell them in order the fund the loans and other business ventures.

The indictment further alleges that Miceli, McClain and Spanier fraudulently induced borrowers to make quarterly interest payments on their loans to Argyll by failing to disclose that the stock the borrowers' pledged as collateral for the loans was already sold.

Additionally, Miceli, McClain and Spanier are charged with engaging in manipulative and deceptive practices and deceiving purchasers of publicly traded securities.

Miceli, McClain, and Spanier allegedly violated federal law by falsely representing that Argyll would comply with Regulation 144, which restricts affiliated persons from selling publicly traded securities, and by failing to disclose to borrowers that Argyll was paying substantial back-end incentive fees to Spanier from the fraudulent sale of the borrowers' stock.

The indictment seeks the forfeiture of \$51 million, including cash and securities held in brokerage accounts, a Ferrari, an Advantage "Party Cat" boat, a Cessna Citation corporate jet and diamond jewelry.

Miceli's next court appearance, a detention hearing, is scheduled for Tuesday. McClain and Spanier were expected to be transferred to San Diego in the coming weeks, according to prosecutors.

Short URL: http://pomeradonews.com/?p=22549

AO 442	ulluseafed	N Doeunent	4 Filed 03/09/12	Page 1 of 1 PLEASE RECEIPT AND RETURN	l	
United States District Court SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
UNITED S	TATES OF AMERIC		NO	T FOR PUBLIC VIE	w	
	V.		WARRANT FOR ARREST			
Jeffi	rey T. Spanier (3)					
To: The United Stat and any Author	tes Marshal ized United States Office		SE NUMBER:	12CR0918-BEN		
YOU ARE HE	EREBY COMMANDED	to arrest	Jeffrey	T. Spanier (3)		
		Name				
and bring him or her fo	orthwith to the nearest ma	igistrate to answe	r a(n)			
X Indictment Infor	mation Complaint	Order of Court	Violation Notice	Probation Violation Petition		
charging him or her with	h (brief description of offense)			Pretrial Violation		
18:371 - Conspiracy; 18:1341 and 2 - Mail Fraud; Aiding and Abetting; 18:1343 and 2 - Wire Fraud; Aiding and Abetting; 15:78j(b) and 78ff - Securities Fraud; 18:1957 - Money Laundering-Criminally Derived Proceeds						
In violation of Title	See Above	United State	es Code, Section(s)			
W. Samuel Hamrick,	I-		_			
Name of Issuing Officer	JI		of Issuing Officer			
s/A. Finnell			Title of Issuing Officer 3/9/2012 San Diego, CA			
Signature of Deputy			and Location			
Doil Grand at C						
Bail fixed at \$	No Bail	by	The Honorable Ma	agistrate Judge Nita L. Stormes		
			Name	e of Judicial Officer		
RETURN						
This warrant was received and executed with the arrest of the above-named defendant at						
DATE RECEIVED	NAME AND TITLE OF A	ARRESTING OFFI	CER SIGNATUR	RE OF ARRESTING OFFICER		
DATE OF ARREST						

Hu

	Case 3:12-cv-00646-L-WVG Docu	ment 1 Filed 03/15/12 Page 1 of 16				
1	DEAN M. CONWAY					
2	ConwayD@sec.gov SCOTT W. FRIESTAD					
3	FriestadS@sec.gov JULIE M. RIEWE					
4	RieweJ@sec.gov					
5	JACOB D. KRAWITZ KrawitzJ@sec.gov					
6						
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION					
8	100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549					
9	Telephone: (202) 551-4412 (Conway)					
10	Facsimile: (202) 772-9332 (Conway)					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
12		Case No. 12CV0646 L WVG				
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No				
14	Plaintiff,					
15	v.	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE				
16		FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS				
	SW ARGYLL INVESTMENTS, LLC (d/b/a ARGYLL INVESTMENTS, LLC),	DEMAND OF JURY TRIAL				
17	JAMES T. MICELI,					
18	DOUGLAS A. MCCLAIN, JR., AMERIFUND CAPITAL FINANCE, LLC,					
19	and JEFFREY SPANIER,					
20	Defendants.					
21						
22	Disintiff Scourities and Euchenes Commi	agion (the "Commission") for its Compleint				
23	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), for its Complaint					
24	against SW Argyll Investments, LLC (d/b/a Argyll Investments, LLC) ("Argyll"), James T. Miceli					
25	("Miceli"), Douglas A. McClain, Jr. ("McClain"), AmeriFund Capital Finance, LLC					
26	("AmeriFund"), and Jeffrey Spanier ("Spanier"), alleges as follows:					
27						
28						

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1. This case involves a fraudulent purported stock-collateralized loan business run by Argyll, which is controlled by Miceli and McClain. Since 2009, Argyll has induced at least nine affiliates of issuers to transfer ownership of millions of shares of publicly traded stock as collateral for purported loans based on a false promise to return the shares to borrowers upon repayments of the loans. Unbeknownst to the borrowers, however, Argyll sold their pledged shares (in unregistered transactions for which no exemption applied) before or soon after funding the loans – and, in many cases, used the proceeds from the collateral sales to fund the loans. Because Argyll agreed to loan 30 to 50 percent less than the market value of the shares transferred, it retained substantial proceeds, even after funding each loan. It also received interest payments. As a result of this scheme, Argyll received more than \$8 million in unlawful gains. Argyll attracts potential borrowers, among other ways, through its network of brokers, including AmeriFund, whose president is Spanier.

2. Through their actions, Argyll, Miceli, and McClain violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5
promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)]; and Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77e(a) and 77e(c)], and AmeriFund and Spanier violated Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)].

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20 and 22 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t and 77v] and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), and 78aa]. Defendants, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or the mails, or the

facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.

4. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to Section 22 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa]. Defendants transact business in the Southern District of California, and certain of the acts, practices, and courses of business constituting the violations of the federal securities laws alleged herein occurred within this judicial district.

DEFENDANTS

5. SW Argyll Investments, LLC (d/b/a Argyll Investments, LLC) is a Texas limited liability company located in San Diego, California, and is affiliated with The Argyll Group. Argyll is jointly owned by James T. Miceli and Douglas A. McClain, Jr.

6. James T. Miceli, age 48, is a resident of Poway, California. Miceli is a member and the Chief Executive Officer of Argyll.

7. Douglas A. McClain, Jr., age 37, is a resident of Savannah, Georgia. McClain is a member and the President of Argyll.

8. AmeriFund Capital Finance, LLC is a Florida limited liability company located in Boca Raton, Florida. Spanier is the sole member of AmeriFund.

9. Jeffrey Spanier, age 46, is a resident of Delray Beach, Florida. Spanier is the President and sole member of AmeriFund.

RELATED ENTITIES

10. The Argyll Group is a group of Texas and Delaware limited liability companies
 jointly controlled by Miceli and McClain. SW Argyll Investments, LLC (d/b/a Argyll Investments,
 LLC) is one of The Argyll Group's companies.

FACTS

DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT SCHEME, MISREPRESENTATIONS, AND MISLEADING OMISSIONS

A. <u>The Stock Based Loan Program</u>

11. Miceli and McClain control The Argyll Group, a group of Texas and Delaware limited liability companies involved in "Private Equity Investment Banking and Corporate Finance." Argyll, one of The Argyll's Group's companies, provides stock-based lending services.

12. Argyll attracted potential customers through referrals from individuals or entities that hold themselves out as stock loan brokers. Argyll paid these stock loan brokers origination, broker, and "back-end" fees that were based upon the size of the loan transaction.

13. Since 2009, Argyll, directly or through its brokers, made both recourse and nonrecourse loans to individuals or entities who pledged as collateral shares (often, restricted shares) of publicly traded stock.

14. AmeriFund and Spanier brokered numerous transactions for Argyll's stock loan program. As part of this brokerage activity, Spanier solicited and negotiated loans that induced the borrowers to pledge shares as collateral for stock sales. From at least 2009, Argyll paid approximately \$2 million in fees to AmeriFund and Spanier as compensation for brokering Argyll's stock loans.

15. When a potential borrower contacted Argyll directly or, more often, through a broker like AmeriFund's Spanier, Argyll typically offered to loan 50% to 70% of the market value of the shares that the borrower proposed to use as collateral, depending on factors such as trading market, volume, and price volatility. If the potential borrower accepted the loan proposal, Argyll sent the borrower (or his or her broker) a "Loan Agreement," "Pledge Agreement," "Promissory Note," and other documents, such as instructions on how to transfer the stock collateral to Argyll (collectively, the "Loan Package").

16. Pursuant to the Promissory Note, the borrower agreed to transfer ownership of his or her shares to Argyll. In exchange, Argyll agreed to fund the borrower's loan one to three days after the "Strike Price" (i.e., the collateral's five day Volume Weighted Average Price (VWAP) through the day preceding the closing date) was determined, all documents were executed, and the collateral was delivered to Argyll or its custodial brokerage account.

17. If Argyll funded the loan, the borrower agreed to make quarterly interest-only payments to Argyll, based on a fixed interest rate. The lengths of the loans were generally fixed and were typically three years. Many of the loans also contained a "lockout" provision that prohibited the borrower from prepaying the loan until a specified period elapsed, usually twelve or eighteen months after date of the Loan Agreement.

18. The standard Loan Package did not permit Argyll to sell the collateral except in the event of default. According to the Loan Agreement's section entitled "Lender's Right to Collateral":

At any time after the date first above written and after Borrower's delivery to Lender of the Collateral, Lender shall be entitled from time to time, in its sole discretion to take any of the following actions with respect to the collateral.

- (a) Hold all or a portion of the Collateral as security for the obligations hereunder and under the Note, and pursuant to the Pledge Agreement. . . ; or
- (b) Lend all or a portion of the Collateral, free and clear of any lien or encumbrances . . . ; or
- (c) Pledge, encumber, hypothecate all or a portion of the Collateral free and clear of any lien or encumbrances . . . ; or
- (d) Commingle the Collateral with other assets or securities of the Lender.
- 19. Moreover, at least one Loan Agreement contained the following additional
- provision: "Lender <u>shall not sell</u> any of the Collateral unless an Event of Default had occurred and is continuing." (Emphasis added).
 - 20. Miceli and McClain told borrowers orally (and reiterated in the Loan Packages) that Argyll would engage only in "hedging" transactions in connection with the loan to minimize its

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

risk of an increase or decrease in collateral value. Argyll, however, did not enter into any hedging transactions; it simply sold the collateral outright to fund its loans.

21. Upon repayment of the loan, Argyll agreed to return the borrowers' shares within twenty days. If the collateral decreased in value during the life of the loan, the borrower at repayment would receive the fair market value of the collateral originally provided to Argyll (i.e., Argyll would return the initially pledged collateral). If the collateral increased in value during the life of the loan, the borrower would receive the original collateral (minus any hedging costs incurred by Argyll) thereby receiving the benefit of any appreciation in price.

Argyll's Purported Stock-Collateralized Loan Business is a Fraudulent Scheme

22. Argyll's purported stock-collateralized loan business is a fraudulent scheme perpetrated by Miceli and McClain to acquire shares of publicly traded stock from borrowers at a 30% to 50% discount to their then-current market value, to sell the shares for full market value in order to fund the loan, and to use the remaining proceeds from the sale of the collateral for their own benefit. Miceli and McClain, through Argyll's Loan Packages and communications with borrowers, made false statements and omitted to state other important facts in connection with this scheme, including lying to borrowers and their representatives about Argyll's selling their collateral prior to any default.

23. Miceli and McClain are joint owners of Argyll. As the sole officers and directors of Argyll, they were the only individuals to sign the Loan Packages on Argyll's behalf. They are also the sole signatories on Argyll's bank and brokerage accounts and control all of Argyll's trading and banking activities.

24. Miceli, McClain, and Argyll did not disclose to borrowers that it intended to sell substantially all of a borrower's shares within days of closing their loans, and, in most cases, explicitly told borrowers that their collateral would not be sold unless a default occurred. In one

28

В.

instance, McClain specifically told an attorney who negotiated several Argyll stock loan transactions for the borrower that Argyll would not sell the collateral shares.

25. Argyll had no independent source of funds other than the borrowers' collateral and could not lend money without selling the collateral, meaning that, in many cases, Argyll sold the collateral prior to closing the loan, and used the proceeds to fund the loan.

26. In addition, Miceli, McClain, and Argyll misrepresented to borrowers that Argyll would return shares to them upon the borrowers' repayment of the loan. The Loan Agreement stated that Argyll would return "the appropriate number of shares that constitutes the Collateral" to borrowers who repay their loans. Instead, Argyll sold all of the shares that it received from the borrowers, but did not set aside any cash reserves to repurchase and return shares to the borrowers who repaid their loans pursuant to the Loan Agreement.

27. Altogether, Argyll sold virtually all of the pledged collateral, prior to any default. Miceli and McClain used the sales proceeds remaining after funding Argyll's loans to, among other things, pay their personal expenses.

28. Argyll' stock sales accounted for the vast majority of its revenue.

C. Specific Examples of Defrauded Borrowers

Victim A

29. Victim A is the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a New York Stock Exchange listed-company involved in the development, leasing, and management of real estate ("Company A"). Sometime in 2008 or 2009, Victim A received an unsolicited telephone call from Spanier in which Spanier offered to broker a stock-collateralized loan.

30. In September 2009, Victim A applied for a stock-collateralized loan through Spanier/AmeriFund, who referred him to Argyll. Victim A entered into a three-year loan agreement with Argyll (dated December 1, 2009), in which he pledged 700,000 shares of Company

Case 3:12-cv-00646-L-WVG Document 1 Filed 03/15/12 Page 8 of 16

A's stock as collateral. At closing, Victim A's shares had a value of \$6,846,000. Argyll agreed to loan Victim A 65% of the stock's value, resulting in a loan of \$4,449,900 with an annual interest rate of 4%. McClain executed the loan agreement on behalf of Argyll, which paid Spanier and AmeriFund a \$407,337 fee for brokering the loan (representing a 3% origination fee that Argyll subtracted from Victim A's loan proceeds and a 4% "back-end" fee paid by Argyll).

31. On December 3, 2009, Victim A transferred 700,000 shares of Company A to Argyll, which provided Victim A with a \$4,316,403 loan (representing the remainder of the \$4.4 million loan after Argyll had subtracted AmeriFund's/Spanier's origination fee of \$133,497) that same day. In addition to Argyll's standard loan agreement language, Victim A's agreement contained the added provision that the "[1]ender shall not sell any of the Collateral unless an Event of Default has occurred or is continuing." Nonetheless, Argyll sold all of the Company A shares between December 4 and December 10, 2009, generating approximately \$6.5 million in proceeds. Argyll profited approximately \$1.6 million from the transaction.

32. In October 2010, Victim A sought to repay the loan and retrieve the Company A shares he had pledged as collateral. Victim A provided written notice to Argyll and requested wire transfer instructions to repay the loan on December 1, 2010 – the first day that the Loan Agreement permitted him to prepay. The Loan Agreement required Argyll to return the shares to him no more than twenty days after he repaid the loan. As of December 1, 2010, however, the market price of Company A's stock was \$13.08 per share, meaning that Argyll would need to pay approximately \$9 million to purchase in the market the Company A shares (which it had sold a year earlier for only \$6.5 million) to return to Victim A. Rather than disclosing to Victim A that Argyll had sold his collateral, McClain instead offered to increase Victim A's loan by \$1 million, lower the loan's interest rate from 4% to 2.25%, and reduce the prepayment penalty. In exchange, Victim A agreed

Case 3:12-cv-00646-L-WVG Document 1 Filed 03/15/12 Page 9 of 16

to extend the "lockout period" by six months. Victim A accepted this offer and agreed to the new loan provisions; Miceli executed the agreement for Argyll.

33. Neither Miceli nor McClain disclosed to Victim A that Argyll had sold all of his Company A shares in December 2009 within one week of receiving them.

34. On April 20, 2011, Victim A provided Spanier with notice of his intent to repay the loan upon expiration of the second lockout period (June 2, 2011). Spanier forwarded the notice to McClain the following day.

Victim B

35. Victim B is the President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chairman of the Board of Directors of an American Stock Exchange-listed company involved in the development, production, and exploration of crude oil and natural gas ("Company B"). On March 30, 2011, Victim B entered into a three-year loan agreement with Argyll (dated March 8, 2011) for which he pledged 1 million shares of Company B. McClain executed the loan agreement – which did not permit Argyll to sell the collateral – on Argyll's behalf.

36. AmeriFund and Spanier brokered Victim B's loan, and Argyll paid AmeriFund/Spanier a total fee of \$51,350 in connection with the loan transaction.

37. On April 1, 2011, Victim B transferred 1 million shares of Company B to Argyll. Argyll began selling Victim B's shares, and by the time Argyll funded the \$377,000 loan on April 8, 2011, it had already sold almost 400,000 shares for proceeds of approximately \$261,000. Argyll sold the remaining shares over the course of the next month and, by May 9, 2011, it had sold all 1 million shares, for total proceeds of approximately \$620,000. Argyll profited approximately \$192,000 from the transaction. Case 3:12-cv-00646-L-WVG Document 1 Filed 03/15/12 Page 10 of 16

C. <u>Defrauded Borrowers of Argyll</u>

Date of Loan Agreement	Number of Shares Pledged	Loan Amount	Argyll Signatory on Loan Agreement	
12/1/2009	700,000	\$4,449,900	McClain	
3/8/2011	1,000,000	\$377,000	McClain	
3/3/2010	1,000,000	\$4,930,000	McClain	
4/15/2010	1,220,000	\$1,537,200	Miceli	
2/12/2011	52,246	\$557,726.05	McClain	
12/22/2009	3,250	\$46,117.50	Miceli	
10/18/2010	750,000	\$307,125	McClain	
11/8/2010	800,000	\$236,880	McClain	
1/19/2011	750,000	\$207,900	Miceli	
4/16/2010	6,000,000	\$1,320,000	Miceli	
9/29/2010	6,000,000	\$528,000	Miceli	
2/11/2011	490,000	\$735,000	McClain	
3/4/2011	490,000	\$764,400	Miceli	

38. The following chart identifies some of the borrowers Argyll defrauded:

ARGYLL'S SALES OF COLLATERAL SHARES WERE NOT REGISTERED WITH THE COMMISSION

39. The Borrowers identified in Paragraph 38, above, were all affiliates of issuers and pledged restricted shares to Argyll as collateral for their stock loans.

40. Rather than retain the shares as collateral as the Loan Agreements required, Argyll, at the direction of Miceli and McClain, sold the shares into the public markets soon after receiving them.

41. These distributions were done without effective registration statements and were not exempt from registration.

1

2

THE DEFENDANTS ACTED AS UNREGISTERED BROKERS OR DEALERS 3 4 42. Argyll, along with Miceli and McClain who acted through Argyll, during all 5 relevant times were neither registered as, nor associated with, a registered broker or dealer. 6 43. Amerifund, along with Spanier who acted through AmeriFund, during all relevant 7 times were neither registered as, nor associated with, a registered broker or dealer. 8 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 9 Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 10 Thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] By Argyll, Miceli, and McClain 11 44. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation 12 in paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein. 13 45. By engaging in the conduct described above, Argyll, Miceli, and McClain, 14 15 knowingly or recklessly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, directly or indirectly, 16 by the use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of 17 a national securities exchange: 18 employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; a. 19 made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts b. 20 necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the 21 22 circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or 23 engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would c. 24 operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person in connection with the purchase 25 or sale of any security. 26 27 28

11

46. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Argyll, Miceli, and McClain violated Section 1 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-2 3 5]. 4 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 5 Aiding and Abetting and Control Person Liability for Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 6 240.10b-5] By Miceli and McClain 7 The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation 47. 8 in paragraphs 1 through 46, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein. 9 48. By engaging in the conduct described above, Argyll, knowingly or recklessly, in 10 11 connection with the purchase or sale of securities, directly or indirectly, by the use of means or 12 instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, or the facilities of a national securities 13 exchange: 14 employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; a. 15 b. made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts 16 necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the 17 circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or 18 19 engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would c. 20 operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person in connection with the purchase 21 or sale of any security. 22 49. Miceli and McClain, knowingly or recklessly, provided substantial assistance to 23 Argyll in connection with its violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] 24 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. 25 50. Miceli and McClain were controlling persons of Argyll for the purposes of Section 26 27 20(a) of the Exchange Act $[15 \text{ U.S.C. } \S 78t(a)]$. 28

51. Miceli and McClain exercised actual power and control over Argyll, including holding joint ownership over Argyll, sole signatory authority on Argyll's bank and brokerage accounts, and authority to execute the Loan Packages.

52. By reason of the foregoing, (a) Miceli and McClain aided and abetted Argyll's violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].and (b) as Argyll's controlling persons under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)], Miceli and McClain are liable for Argyll's violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)] of the Securities Act by Argyll, Miceli, and McClain

53. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 52, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein.

54. By engaging in the conduct described above, Argyll, Miceli, and McClain, directly or indirectly, through the use of the means or instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce or the mails, offered to sell or sold securities, or carried such securities through the mail or interstate commerce for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale.

55. No registration statements were filed with the Commission or were in effect with respect to the offer or sale of the securities described above.

56. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Argyll, Miceli, and McClain violated Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)].

Violation of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(a)] by Argyll, Miceli, McClain, AmeriFund, and Spanier

57. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 56, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein.

6	58. By engaging in the conduct described above, Argyll, Miceli, McClain, AmeriFund,	
7	and Spanier, directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or means or instrumentalities of interstate	
8	commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or attempt to induce, the purchase or sale of	
9	securities, without being registered as a broker or dealer with the Commission, or being associated	
10	with a broker or dealer registered with the Commission.	
11 12	59. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Argyll, Miceli, McClain, AmeriFund, and	
12	Spanier violated Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(a)].	
14	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
15	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter a Final	
16	Judgment:	
17	I.	
18	Finding that the Defendants violated the securities laws as alleged herein;	
19 20		
20 21	Permanently enjoining Argyll, Miceli, and McClain from violating Section 10(b) of the	
21	Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], Section	
23	15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(a)], and Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act	
24	[15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)];	
25		
26	III.	
27	Permanently enjoining AmeriFund and Spanier from violating Section 15(a) of the	

Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)];

1

2

3

4

5

27

28

IV.

1 Ordering Defendants to disgorge the unlawful profits derived from the activities set forth in 2 3 this Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon; 4 V. 5 Ordering Argyll, Miceli, and McClain to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d) of the 6 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)] and Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)]; 7 and ordering AmeriFund and Spanier to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d) of the 8 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)]; and 9 VI. 10 11 Granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, equitable, and necessary. 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 By: s/ Dean M. Conway 14 Dean M. Conway 15 Scott W. Friestad Julie M. Riewe 16 Jacob D. Krawitz 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 18 **COMMISSION** 19 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549 20 Telephone: (202) 551-4412 Facsimile: (202) 772-9332 21 E-mail: ConwayD@sec.gov 22 23 Dated: March 15, 2012 24 25 26 27 28

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS SW Argyll Investments, LLC (d/b/a Argyll Investments, LLC). James T. Miceli, Douglas A. McClain, Jr., AmeriFund Capital				
Securities and Exchang	e Commission					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	San Diego Coun	ty, Cal.
(E	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: INLAN	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES D CONDEMNATION CASES, U	•	ЧF
				INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF T	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)	'120	V0646 L V	NVG
	curities and Exchange Comr	nission, 100 F	Unknown			
	DC 20549 (202) 551-4412		TIZENSUUD OF D	DINCIDAT DADTIEC		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box	Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box i and One Box for Defend	
23. 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		DEF D 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties)		zen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business In		05
	(<i>F</i>	Citiz		3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06	06
IV. NATURE OF SU	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Fc	oreign Country			
CONTRACT	TORTS		ORTHURE/PENALINY		OTHER STATU	TES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 P □ 315 Airplane Product M Liability □ 365 Pc □ 320 Assault, Libel & P Slander □ 368 Pc □ 330 Federal Employers' In Liability L 2 □ 340 Marine PERSON □ 345 Marine Product □ □ 346 Marine Product □ □ 340 Marine PERSON □ 345 Marine Product □ □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ □ 360 Other Personal Pr □ 160 Other Personal Pr □ 141 Voting □ 510 M □ 441 Voting □ 510 M □ 442 Employment □ See □ 443 Housing/ □ 530 G □ 444 Welfare □ 355 D □ 444 Welfare □ 540 M □	ersonal Injury - 66 fed. Malpractice 62 rsonal Injury - roduct Liability 66 sbestos Personal 6 (jury Product 69 iability 66 (AL PROPERTY ther Fraud 66 uth in Lending 77 operty Damage 77 oduct Liability 77 ER PETITIONS 77 otions to Vacate 77 ntence 77 set Cerpus: eneral eath Penalty 74 andamus & Other 74 vil Rights 74 ison Condition 74 66 67 77 78 79 79 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 75 75 76 76 77 76 77 76 77 77 76 77 76 77 77	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigration Actions	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 	 400 State Reapportio 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Bank 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influe Corrupt Organiz 480 Consumer Credi 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Conn Exchange 875 Customer Challe 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Act 893 Environmental 1 894 Energy Allocatic 895 Freedom of Info Act 900 Appeal of Fee De Under Equal Act to Justice 950 Constitutionality State Statutes 	ing nced and ations t e nodities/ enge Actions s ization Act Matters on Act rmation etermination
🖾 1 Original 🗍 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from I 3 Remanded ate Court Appellate Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under 15 U.S.C. SS / SJ(D),	Court Reo	pened (speci		rict 🗇 7 Judge fro	ate
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause:	ations of federal				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:ICHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		SS ACTION D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in compla : ØYes □No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGN	ATURE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD			
03/15/2012	/s De	an Conway				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APF	LYING IFP	JUDGE		DGE	





OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA San Diego, California

United States Attorney Laura E. Duffy

Assistant U. S. Attorney Faith A. Devine (619) 546-6784

For Immediate Release

THREE INDIVIDUALS CHARGED IN \$51 MILLION STOCK LOAN FRAUD SCHEME <u>NEWS RELEASE SUMMARY</u> - March 15, 2012

United States Attorney Laura Duffy announced the unsealing of a criminal indictment today charging James T. Miceli, Douglas McClain, Jr. ("McClain"), and Jeffrey Spanier with one count of conspiracy, seven counts of mail fraud, fifteen counts of wire fraud, one count of securities fraud, eleven counts of money laundering, and criminal forfeiture in connection with their participation in a \$51 million stock loan fraud scheme.

According to the indictment, Miceli and McClain operated several entities that did business in San Diego, California and Savannah, Georgia under the name "Argyll." Spanier operated a loan brokerage business in Florida under the name "Amerifund." According to the indictment, Miceli, McClain, and Spanier conspired to defraud the public and borrowers by falsely representing that Argyll was an institutional lender with significant cash to lend to corporate executives and other individuals. According to the indictment, Miceli, McClain, and Spanier fraudulently induced borrowers to pledge stock they held in publicly traded companies as collateral for loans by falsely representing that the borrowers' stock would not be sold unless there was a default on the loan and failing to disclose that Argyll intended to sell the borrowers' stock in order the fund the loans as well other business ventures of Miceli and McClain. The indictment further alleges that Miceli, McClain, and Spanier also fraudulently induced borrowers to make quarterly interest payments on their loans to Argyll by failing to disclose that the stock the borrowers' pledged as collateral for the loans was already sold by Argyll.

The indictment also charges Miceli, McClain, and Spanier with engaging in manipulative and deceptive devices which operated as a fraud and deceit upon purchasers of publicly traded securities. According to the indictment, federal securities laws and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC) place restrictions on the sale of stock by insiders of publicly traded companies. The indictment charges Miceli, McClain, and Spanier with violating these laws by falsely representing that Argyll would comply with Regulation 144, which restricts affiliated persons from selling publicly traded securities and failing to disclose to borrowers that Argyll was paying substantial back-end incentive fees to Spanier from the fraudulent sale of the borrowers' stock.

The indictment seeks the forfeiture of \$51 million, including cash and securities held in brokerage accounts, a Ferrari, an Advantage Party Cat vessel, a Cessna Citation corporate jet, and diamond jewelry.

This case is being investigated by the Federal Bureau of Investigation-San Diego Division and the United States Postal Inspection Service.

Defendant Miceli is next scheduled to be in court on Tuesday, March 20, 2011 at 9:00 a.m., before Magistrate Judge Ruben B. Brooks for a detention hearing. Defendants McClain and Spanier are expected to be transferred to San Diego in the coming weeks.

DEFENDANTS

Case Number: 12cr0918BEN

James T. Miceli Douglas A. McClain, Jr. Jeffrey R. Spanier

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

Count 1	Title 18, United States Code, Section 371 - Conspiracy Maximum Penalties: Five years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, and three years' supervised release.
Counts 2-8	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 - Mail Fraud Maximum Penalties: 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, and three years' supervised release.
Counts 9-23	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343 - Wire Fraud Maximum Penalties: 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,00, and three years' supervised release.
Count 24	Title 15, United State Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff - Securities Fraud Maximum Penalties: 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,00, and three years' supervised release.
Counts 25-28	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956 - Money Laundering Maximum Penalties: 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, and three years' supervised release.
Counts 29-35	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957 - Money Laundering Maximum Penalties: 10 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, and three years' supervised release

AGENCIES

Federal Bureau of Investigation United States Postal Inspection Service

An indictment is not evidence that the defendants committed the crimes charged. The defendants are presumed innocent until the Government meets its burden in court of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Bloomberg Businessweek

News From Bloomberg

SEC Sues California Executives Over Stock-Lending Fraud Claims

By Joshua Gallu on March 15, 2012

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission sued Argyll Investments LLC and two of its executives over claims they defrauded officers and directors at public companies in an \$8 million stock-lending scheme.

James T. Miceli and Douglas A. McClain Jr. acquired stock from at least nine corporate officers since 2009 at a discount as collateral for loans, saying the shares would only be sold if they defaulted, the SEC said in a statement today. Instead, they sold shares for full market value to fund the loans and used the proceeds for their own personal benefit, the SEC said.

The SEC also accused brokerage AmeriFund Capital Finance LLC and its owner, Jeffrey Spanier, of violating securities laws by facilitating several transactions for California-based Argyll without being registered as a broker-dealer.

"Miceli and McClain thought they had devised a foolproof way to make substantial risk-free profits, but their purported business model was nothing more than an illegal get-rich-quick scheme," Scott Friestad, an associate director in the SEC's enforcement division, said in a statement.

Pat Swan, an attorney for McClain at law firm Jones Day, declined immediate comment, saying he hasn't yet reviewed the complaint. Phone calls to Michael Attanasio, an attorney for Miceli, and Mark Perry, who represents Spanier and AmeriFund Capital, weren't immediately returned.

According to the SEC, Miceli and McClain promised the borrowers they would return the shares when the loans were repaid. Rather than retaining the shares as required, they sold them without the borrowers' knowledge before or soon after funding the loans, the SEC said.

Because Argyll typically loaned the borrowers 30 percent to 50 percent less than the current market value of the shares, the company retained substantial proceeds even after funding the loans, the SEC said. As a result, Argyll reaped more than \$8 million in unlawful gains, according to the agency.

The SEC also accused Miceli, McClain and Argyll of selling the collateral shares, which were restricted securities, into the public markets in unregistered transactions.

To contact the reporter on this story: Joshua Gallu in Washington at jgallu@bloomberg.net

To contact the editor responsible for this story: Maura Reynolds at mreynolds34@bloomberg.net

Copyright 2012 Bloomberg L.P. All Rights Reserved. Made in NYC

Moneynews SEC Accuses California Executives of Stock-Lending Fraud

Thursday, March 15, 2012 05:44 PM

By:

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission sued Argyll Investments LLC and two of its executives over claims they defrauded officers and directors at public companies in an \$8 million stock-lending scheme.

James T. Miceli and Douglas A. McClain Jr. acquired stock from at least nine corporate officers since 2009 at a discount as collateral for loans, saying the shares would be sold only if they defaulted, the SEC said in a complaint filed Thursday in U.S. District Court in San Diego. Instead, they sold shares for full market value to fund the loans and used the proceeds for their own personal benefit, the SEC said.

The SEC also accused brokerage AmeriFund Capital Finance LLC and owner Jeffrey Spanier of facilitating transactions for California-based Argyll without registering as a broker-dealer.

"Miceli and McClain thought they had devised a foolproof way to make substantial risk-free profits, but their purported business model was nothing more than an illegal get-rich-quick scheme," Scott Friestad, an associate director in the SEC's enforcement division, said in a statement.

Pat Swan, a San Diego-based attorney for McClain at law firm Jones Day, declined immediate comment, saying he hasn't yet reviewed the complaint. Phone calls to Spanier at his AmeriFund office and to Michael Attanasio, an attorney for Miceli, weren't immediately returned.

The SEC is seeking permanent injunctions, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains with prejudgment interest, and financial penalties, according to the agency's statement.

Substantial Proceeds

According to the SEC, Miceli and McClain promised the borrowers they would return the shares when the loans were repaid. Rather than retaining the shares as required, they sold them without the borrowers' knowledge before or soon after funding the loans, the SEC said.

Because Argyll typically loaned the borrowers 30 percent to 50 percent less than the current market value of the shares, the company retained substantial proceeds even after funding the loans, the SEC said. As a result, Argyll reaped more than \$8 million in unlawful gains, according to the agency.

The SEC also accused Miceli, McClain and Argyll of selling the collateral shares, which were restricted securities, into the public markets in unregistered transactions.

© Copyright 2012 Bloomberg News. All rights reserved.